

1

14

15

16

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

May 8, 2001

Motion 11196

A MOTION enacting practices for the issuance and

Proposed No. 2000-0394.2

Sponsors Nickels and McKenna

management of the King County General Fund Debt Policy. 2 3 4 5 WHEREAS, the following policies are enacted to maintain standard and rational practices for the issuance and management of general fund debt by King County. These 6 policies are designed to provide guidance in selecting projects for debt, to maintain the 7 8 county's credit rating, to reduce issuance costs and to provide complete financial 9 disclosure and reporting, and 10 WHEREAS, the county's general policy is that "pay-as-you-go" funding is the 11 preferred method of financing for the county's Capital Improvement Program. This is particularly true for rehabilitation and major maintenance of facilities, equipment 12 13 acquisitions and costs of acquiring and installing computer software. Debt should be

cash flow circumstances require multiyear financing;

used in those circumstances in which the investment is necessary and cost-effective and

38

39

17	A. "General fund debt obligations" definition. For the purposes of this motion,
18	"general fund debt obligations" means fixed payment term obligations (such as limited
19	general obligation debt, lease purchase debt and capital-equipment leases) that are not
20	recoverable from direct charges to benefiting non-current expense fund agencies and are
21	expenses which will create fixed obligations against tax revenues otherwise available for
22	current expense fund operating programs. "General fund debt obligations" includes CX
23	LTGO debt and all functional equivalents (such as lease purchase or installment
24	purchase).
25	B. Purposes and use of debt.
26	1. Debt-financed projects must comply with all county planning requirements
27	and applicable King County codes except in cases of emergency.
28	2. Debt will be used for projects that have been part of systematic capital
29	planning process. The county will adopt a six-year CIP plan as well as prepare a
30	Comprehensive Plan pursuant to the Growth Management Act. The county's six-year
31	CIP will be in compliance with the county's Comprehensive Plan.
32	3. The project shall have a reasonably long useful life, add to the physical
33	infrastructure and capital assets of the county or enhance the productive capacity of
34	county services. Examples of these types of projects are roads, utilities, buildings and
35	parks.
36	4. Borrowing will be used only for investments whose useful life equals or
37	exceeds the term of the debt.

land acquisition, buildings, permanent structures, attached fixtures or equipment and

5. Bond proceeds should be limited to financing the costs of planning, design,

40	other capital items such as equipment or major information technology system with a
41	useful life of five years or longer.
42	6. Exceptions may be made for judgments or settlements that require multiyear
43	financing provided the term of repayment does not exceed five years.
44	7. Projects have definable beginnings and ends.
45	8. Revenues are not available from other financing sources, such as grants, or
46	from voter-approved sources.
47	C. Rating goals. The county seeks to maintain its rating of Aa1. The highest
48	possible rating will be sought so long as it does not impair the ability of the county to
49	provide basic services and achievement of other county objectives.
50	D. Debt capacity. To maintain the Aa1 rating, the county adheres to the
51	following guidelines in deciding how much additional county limited term general
52	obligation debt will be issued in a fiscal year.
53	1. Total LTGO debt shall be kept within the 1.5 percent of assessed value
54	limitation in the State Constitution.
55	2. Required annual debt service payments shall not exceed five percent of the
56	general fund's net revenue available for debt service, as described in Adopted Financial
57	Policy #1. This policy, in Motion 5888, passed January 3, 1984, prescribes that the
58	county's financial plan should provide for an anticipated year-end undesignated current
59	expense fund balance of six percent of estimated annual revenues.
60	3. The county shall endeavor to have debt service payments be below this five
61	percent cap.

84

62	4. The county may exceed this five percent cap in the event of an emergency, as
63	defined in K.C.C. chapter 12.52.
64	5. Receipts backing debt service and leases shall be deducted from the costs in
65	the above calculation.
66	E. Debt structure.
67	1. The county will seek to structure debt with level principal and interest
68	payments over the life of the debt.
69	2. The county can use interim financing in anticipation of a limited or unlimited
70	bond issue. The financing should not have maturities greater than two years.
71	F. Debt administration and process. The county will prepare an annual six-year
72	debt plan and present this plan as part of the annual budget. This plan will identify and
73	project current, proposed and future debt; identify and forecast relevant revenues
74	measures against which compliance of the five percent restriction will apply, provide
75	sufficient information to demonstrate compliance with debt policies and identify any
76	required proposed or pending exceptions.
77	G. Advisory panel.
78	1. The county will appoint a five member advisory panel to review the annual
79	general fund LTGO. Two members of the panel will be appointed by the county
80	executive and three members will be selected by the King County council. Members
81	shall be selected based on their knowledge of capital financing and government
82	budgeting.
83	2. The purpose of the advisory panel will be to review our general fund debt

policies, review for compliance with our general fund debt policies, examine trends in the

general fund LTGO debt service financial plan and make recommendations to the council
and executive in each of these areas.

3. The advisory panel shall convene in the first quarter of every even-numbered
year. Recommendations of the advisory panel shall be forwarded to the council by April
30 of every even-numbered year.

Motion 11196 was introduced on 7/5/00 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 5/7/01, by the following vote:

Yes: 13 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Miller, Ms. Fimia, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Mr. McKenna, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Nickels, Mr. Pullen, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Mr. Thomas and Mr. Irons

No: 0

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Pete von Reichbauer, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments None